

# Homework 7 Solution

## 1. Sec. 5.2 Q11

11. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix that is similar to an upper triangular matrix and has the distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$  with corresponding multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ . Prove the following statements.

$$(a) \operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \lambda_i$$

$$(b) \det(A) = (\lambda_1)^{m_1} (\lambda_2)^{m_2} \cdots (\lambda_k)^{m_k}.$$

$\exists$  invertible  $Q$  s.t.  $Q^{-1}AQ = R$  is an upper triangular matrix

$$f_A(t) = \det(A - tI_n) = \det(R - tI_n) = (R_{11} - t) \cdots (R_{nn} - t)$$

since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ .

Therefore  $R_{ii} \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  for  $i=1 \dots n$

suppose  $R_{ii} = \lambda_j$  occurs  $d_j$  times in  $f_A(t)$

$$\text{Since } (\lambda_j - t)^{d_j} \mid f_A(t) \quad \text{so } m_j \leq d_j$$

$$n = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^k d_j = n \quad \text{so } m_j = d_j \text{ for } j=1 \dots k.$$

Thus the diagonal entries of  $A$  are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$

And each  $\lambda_i$  occurs  $m_i$  times.

(a) Note that  $\operatorname{tr}(BC) = \operatorname{tr}(CB) \quad \forall B, C \in M_{n \times n}$

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \operatorname{tr}(Q \cdot R \cdot Q^{-1}) = \operatorname{tr}(R \cdot Q^{-1} \cdot Q)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr}(R) = \sum_1^n R_{ii} = \sum_1^k m_i \lambda_i$$

$$(b) \det(A) = f_A(0) = \prod_1^n R_{ii} = \prod_1^k \lambda_i^{m_i}$$

## 2. Sec. 5.2 Q13

13. Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ . Recall from Exercise 14 of Section 5.1 that  $A$  and  $A^t$  have the same characteristic polynomial and hence share the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. For any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  and  $A^t$ , let  $E_\lambda$  and  $E'_\lambda$  denote the corresponding eigenspaces for  $A$  and  $A^t$ , respectively.
- Show by way of example that for a given common eigenvalue, these two eigenspaces need not be the same.
  - Prove that for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$ ,  $\dim(E_\lambda) = \dim(E'_\lambda)$ .
  - Prove that if  $A$  is diagonalizable, then  $A^t$  is also diagonalizable.

(a)

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad E_0 = \text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

$$A^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad E'_0 = \text{span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \neq E_0$$

$$(b) (A - \lambda I_n)^t = A^t - \lambda I_n^t = A^t - \lambda I_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(E_\lambda) &= \dim(N(A - \lambda I_n)) \\ &= n - \dim(R(A - \lambda I_n)) \\ &= n - \text{rank}(A - \lambda I_n) \\ &= n - \text{rank}((A - \lambda I_n)^t) \\ &= n - \text{rank}(A^t - \lambda I_n) \\ &= n - \dim(R(A^t - \lambda I_n)) \\ &= \dim(N(A^t - \lambda I_n)) \\ &= \dim(E'_\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$A$  is diagonalizable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  equals to geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  for all  $\lambda$  of  $A$ .

$$\text{i.e. } \mu_A(\lambda) = \gamma_A(\lambda)$$

$$\mu_{A^t}(\lambda) = \mu_A(\lambda) = \gamma_A(\lambda) = \gamma_{A^t}(\lambda) \quad \text{for each eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ of } A^t$$

Thus  $A^t$  is diagonalizable.

### 3. Sec. 5.2 Q22

22. Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , and suppose that the distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ . Prove that

$$\text{span}(\{x \in V : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } T\}) = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus E_{\lambda_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}.$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall v \in E_{\lambda_i} \cap \left( \sum_{j \neq i} E_{\lambda_j} \right)$$

$$T(v) = \lambda_i \cdot v \quad \text{and} \quad v = \sum_{j \neq i} v_j \quad \text{where } v_j \in E_{\lambda_j} \text{ for } j \neq i$$

$$T(v) = \sum_{j \neq i} T(v_j) = \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j v_j$$

$$0 = T(v) - T(v) = \lambda_i \cdot \sum_{j \neq i} v_j - \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j v_j = \sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) v_j$$

$\in E_{\lambda_j}$

Thus  $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j) v_j = 0$  which implies  $v_j = 0$

Thus  $v = 0$

$$\text{Therefore } \sum_{j=1}^k E_{\lambda_j} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k E_{\lambda_j}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \because E_{\lambda_j} \subset \text{span}(\{x \in V : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } T\})$$

$$\therefore E_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + E_{\lambda_k} \subset \text{span}(\{x \in V : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } T\})$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \forall v \in \text{span}(\{x \in V : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } T\})$$

$$v = \underbrace{v_1 + v_2 + v_3}_{\lambda_1} + \underbrace{v_4 + v_5 + \cdots + v_p}_{\lambda_2} + \cdots$$

group these  $v_j$ 's based  
on eigen values.

$$= w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_k$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$   
 $E_{\lambda_1} \quad E_{\lambda_2} \quad E_{\lambda_k}$

$$\in \bar{E}_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + \bar{E}_{\lambda_k}$$

4. Sec. 5.4 Q13

13. Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$ , let  $v$  be a nonzero vector in  $V$ , and let  $W$  be the  $T$ -cyclic subspace of  $V$  generated by  $v$ . For any  $w \in V$ , prove that  $w \in W$  if and only if there exists a polynomial  $g(t)$  such that  $w = g(T)(v)$ .

$$W = \text{span} \{ v, T(v), T^2(v), \dots \} \quad v \neq 0$$

$(\Rightarrow)$   $w \in W$ . then  $\exists a_0 \dots a_k \in F$  s.t.

$$w = a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + \dots + a_k T^k(v)$$

$$= g(T)(v)$$

$$\text{where } g(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_k t^k$$

$(\Leftarrow)$  Since  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant. by exercise 4

$W$  is  $g(T)$ -invariant.

$$v \in W. \text{ then } w = g(T)(v) \in W$$

## 5. Sec. 5.4 Q23

23. Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , and let  $W$  be a  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ . Suppose that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  are eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues. Prove that if  $v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k$  is in  $W$ , then  $v_i \in W$  for all  $i$ . Hint: Use mathematical induction on  $k$ .

$W$  is  $T$ -invariant . then  $T(W) \subset W$

$$T(v_j) = \lambda_j \cdot v_j, \quad j=1, \dots, k \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad \text{if } i \neq j$$

- For  $k=1$  . if  $v_1 \in W$  , then  $v_1 \in W$ .

- Suppose this is true for case  $k$

- If  $v_1 + \dots + v_{k+1} \in W$ .

$$\text{then } \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} = T(v_1 + \dots + v_{k+1}) \in W$$

$$(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1}) - \lambda_{k+1} (v_1 + \dots + v_{k+1}) \in W$$

$$\text{i.e. } (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1}) v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) v_k \in W$$

Since  $\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$  for  $j=1 \dots k$ .

$(\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1}) v_j$  is eigen vector of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ .

By assumption,  $(\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1}) v_j \in W$  ,  $j=1, \dots, k$ .

Since  $\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1} \neq 0$  ,  $v_j \in W$  for  $j=1 \dots k$

$$v_{k+1} = (v_1 + \dots + v_{k+1}) - v_1 - \dots - v_k \in W$$

Therefore  $v_i \in W$  for  $i=1 \dots k+1$